



The Return of Sherlock Holmes

Adventure XXXVI – The Adventure of the Three Students

- 1. Why not Oxford or Cambridge?
- 2. Are English Charters a giveaway or a false clue?
- 3. What common interest did Holmes and Watson share with a Greek tutor and lecturer?
- 4. Did Soames pay for the consultation?
- 5. Would the police have taken the case?
- 6. What indicated the passage was in Greek? In English?
- 7. Why a large knife?
- 8. Did the evidence suggest a muscular suspect?
- 9. Was the bedroom window an escape path?
- 10. Was competing for the scholarship compulsory?
- 11. Was there any reason for the reputation of Indians to be quiet and inscrutable?
- 12. Would an idler worry about a scholarship test?
- 13. If the tea tray prevented locking the door, how was the door unlocked?
- 14. When had the students had their evening meal?
- 15. Why only three suspects?
- 16. Was the printer or his delivery man known to the students?
- 17. Did Ras return and leave before Gilchrist arrived?
- 18. What made the proofs identifiable at a distance when seen through a window?
- 19. Why such sharp spikes?
- 20. Does one look in to ask a question without knocking and being invited in?



Ralph Edwards, BSI

April 28, 1995